DETERMINING SEPARATION DISTANCES USING THE NEBRASKA ODOR FOOTPRINT TOOL: User's Manual for the Spreadsheet Tool Rick Stowell and Crystal Powers Extension Engineers

Introduction:

Instructions are provided that will enable a person to determine directional setback distances using the spreadsheet version of the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool. An example is provided along with the instructions to illustrate use of the Excel[®] spreadsheet.

The Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool (NOFT) can be used to determine minimum separation distances that should be maintained in different directions around livestock and poultry production facilities to meet selected odor annoyance-free targets. The separation distances take into account the types and sizes of facilities on the site, use of proven odor control technology, historical weather records, risk-avoidance levels for odor annoyance, and local terrain. For background information on the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool, directional setback distances, and odor footprints, the reader is directed to the publication *Odor Footprints and Odor Footprint Tools: An Overview*.

The spreadsheet version of the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool is designed to simplify and expedite calculations of minimum separation distances. A worksheet-based version of the NOFT, where calculations are completed by hand, is also available. Instructions on its use are described in a separate publication, *Determining Separation Distances Using the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool: User's Manual for the Worksheet-Based Tool.* Those who will regularly use the spreadsheet version of the NOFT, since the contributing factors to odor emissions on an operation are more evident when using the worksheet.

Procedure for downloading the NOFT spreadsheet:

You will first need to download the NOFT spreadsheet. Latest versions of the NOFT and related information are located on the NOFT webpage within UNL's Animal Manure Management website, <insert url>. When you click on the link to the file on the NOFT webpage, a screen will appear asking you what you would like to do with the file. Save it on your computer in a location where you will be able to find it easily. Next, open the saved file. Depending on your security settings, your computer may ask if you would like to enable or disable macros. Click on the "Enable Macros" button to allow all portions of the NOFT to run correctly. If this setting cannot be changed, use the tabs at the bottom of the Excel page to move between the "Inputs," "Results," "Calculations," and "Graphs" pages.

Procedure for using the NOFT spreadsheet:

The general procedure for determining directional setback distances is described in the following nine steps. For several of the steps, a screenshot from the spreadsheet is provided that shows example information for the step being described. It may be helpful to have the spreadsheet open and enter information for each of the steps as you go through these instructions.

Step 1: Gather essential information about the proposed operation, facilities, and planning criteria.

To use the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool, you will need to have information available on the:

1) Proposed site location and what region(s) may be most representative of this location climate-wise;

- 2) Type of facility(ies) to be built on the site;
- 3) Basic dimensions of the facility(ies);
- 4) Type of odor control being considered, if any;
- 5) Desired or required annoyance-free frequency(ies) to be maintained; and
- 6) The terrain surrounding the facility.

This information will be entered into the white info-entry cells of the Inputs page.

Step 2. Provide a title and fill in basic information about the operation.

Enter a descriptive title and identify for whom setback information is being prepared in the info-entry cells at the top of the spreadsheet. This information assists in documentation. A good title will prove especially helpful when multiple scenarios are considered.

Note the site location (i.e. city, county) in the space below the title. On the line below this, choose the city that best represents this location in terms of regional climate. Select a city from the dropdown list by clicking on the down arrow to the right of the info-entry cell and then on the appropriate city, as shown in Figure 1. The Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool spreadsheet presently provides directional setback distances for the following representative locations:

Alliance (Neb. Panhandle)	Ainsworth (north-central Neb.)	Norfolk (northeast Neb.)
North Platte (southwest Neb.)	Grand Island (south-central Neb.)	Beatrice (southeast Neb.)
Lincoln (airport salt flats)	Scottsbluff (North Platte River vall	ey)

N	NEBRASKA ODOR FOOTPR Inputs for the Calculation of Set	INT TOOL back Distances	Nebraska
Project title:	Example	Prepared for:[]
Site location:	Northeast, NE	Prepared by:	
Location for weat	her data: Norfolk, Reb. (Northwest Neb.) Aroundy, Reb. (North-section Reb.) Alexas, Neb. (Sechand Sec.)	Date prepared:	Source Facility 4
Select type of fac	Court Dated, Nets, Court-center Nets,) Doors, Nets, Revy, Lett, Status, Stat		

Figure 1. Selecting the "Location for weather data" from the dropdown menu.

It may be appropriate to determine separation distances using information from two or more adjacent regions. In such situations, calculate and keep a record of setback distances for each region.

It's a good idea to document who prepared the setback information, as well as the date the information was prepared. Enter this information in the info-entry cells to the right of the location information.

Step 3. Choose the type of facilities.

In the lines following the heading **BASE PLAN** (Figure 2), choose a general descriptor from the dropdown lists for each odor source facility on the site. Consider all pertinent odor sources on the site, where feasible. When you revise one input, you may be prompted to update other related inputs as well. Be sure to update inputs as requested before viewing the results.

Data for dry lots as well as anaerobic lagoons will be coming in the future, but are not included in the calculations for now. If you choose this type of facility a message will appear to let you know that the facility will not be included in further calculations. A very conservative estimate can be made for anaerobic lagoons by substituting "Manure storage - earthen basin".

The types of beef open lots common in Nebraska do not have enough research available to estimate odor emissions at this time.

N		NEBRAS Inputs for the	KA ODOR FOOTPRINT Calculation of Setbac	TOOL k Distances	Nebrask
Project tille:	xample			Prepared for:	
Site location:	iortheast, I	Æ		Prepared by:	
Location for weather o	seta: (Norfolk, Neb. (8	iortheast Neb.)	Date prepared:	
BASE PLAN		Source Facility 1	Source Facility 2	Source Facility 3	Source Facility 4
Select odor sources:	1.4	Swine, Privaring and C farming Big Nature Big Carstan Big			
Select type of facility:	100	Finder Internet			
Number of animals pe	r facines	e Strage e Tougtant Faulty			

Figure 2. Selecting an odor source facility from the dropdown menu.

N.		NEBRAS Inputs for the	Calculation of Setbac	TOOL ck Distances	Nebras
Project title:	xample.			Prepared for:	
Site location:	iortheast, N	e.		Prepared by:	
Location for weather	cata: [Norfolk, Neb. (N	ortheast Neb.3] Date prepared:	
BASE PLAN	r	Source Facility 1	Source Facility 2	Source Facility 3	Source Facility 4
PERCOUP POPULA	-	and the second second second			
Select type of facility	e (1	Shallow pit (pull plug)		*	
Number of animals be	er faciliter.	Control Control	unde Laik Aurikelie	P	

Figure 3. Selecting the specific type of facility for an odor source.

If two or more facilities will be nearly identical, you can indicate this as described in Step 6, so you do not need to create separate columns for each. For each selected source facility, choose from the dropdown list in the next line down the specific type of facility to be built (Figure 3).

Step 4. Enter the number of animals.

For each source facility, enter the number of animals housed within or served by that facility (Figure 4). This information is not essential for the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool, but tends to be very useful when communicating information relative to individual odor sources. Also, when a facility size is not known, the number of animals to be housed within / served by the facility can often be used to estimate the size of facility, as needed in Step 5.

Ĩ	N	NEBRAS Inputs for the	KA ODOR FOOTPRINT Calculation of Setbac	TOOL k Distances	Nebraska
	Project title: Example			Prepared for:	
	Site location: Northeast,	NE		Prepared by:	
	Location for weather data:	Norfolk, Neb. (N	ortheast Neb.)	Date prepared:	
	BASE PLAN Select odar sources:	Source Facility 1 Swine, Finishing Bidg	Source Facility 2 Manure Storage	Source Facility 3	Source Facility 4
	Select type of facility:	Shallow pit (pull plug)	Earthen basin		
Step 4	Number of animals per facility:	1,000	4,000]
Step 5	Facility plan dimensions: a) Length & (ft) Width (ft) OR b) Diameter: - (ft)	200 40	300		
Step 6	Number of identical facilities:	4	1	1	I I



Step 5. List the plan dimensions of each facility.

In the next line down (Figure 4), specify the dimensions of each facility by filling in either the length and width of rectangular facilities or the diameter of circular facilities. For buildings, enter the dimensions of floor areas; for outdoor lots, enter the top-view dimensions of the lot surface areas; and for manure containment/treatment facilities, enter the top-view dimensions of the surface area when filled to the design capacity. Figure 4 shows a 40'x 200' barn for the finishing building, while the circular manure storage has a diameter of 300'.

If an office, supply storage room, break room, etc. are to be attached to one of the buildings, these areas should be excluded from the plan dimensions since these areas should not be noteworthy sources of odor. Adjoining hallways, animal treatment pens, and handling areas, however, should be included in most situations.

Step 6. Note the presence of identical facilities, if any.

If multiple nearly identical facilities are to be used, choose the total number from the dropdown list under the appropriate source facility. In the example shown in Figure 4, the farm is putting in four swine finishing facilities that are the same type and dimensions; therefore, the user can select "4" identical facilities.

Step 7. Select the odor control being implemented/considered.

The spreadsheet is designed to facilitate comparisons of odor control alternatives (Figure 5). First choose the type of odor control being considered for the base plan. If no special odor control is utilized for a given facility, or if the odor control technology under consideration is not listed and its effectiveness has not been independently confirmed, choose "No supplemental odor control implemented." In the typical scenario, no special odor control technology provides the user with information on the operation's expected baseline odor impact and opportunity to evaluate the benefit of odor control in terms of reduced setback distances and impact area. Next, choose the type of odor control being considered in the "Alternate Plan." Figure 5 shows a scenario where the options of using a biofilter on cool season airflow on the building and placing straw 2" thick over the manure storage is being considered. Limiting odor control to one or two technologies better illustrates the impact of individual selections and better represents most realistic applications.

	Project title: [Example			Prepared for:	
	Site location: Northeast, A	ie		Prepared by:	
	Location for weather data: [Norfolk, Neb. (N	iortheast Neb.)] Date prepared:	
	BASE PLAN Select odor sourcest	Source Facility 1 Swine, Finishing Bidg	Source Facility 2 Manure Storage	Source Facility 3	Source Facility 4
	Select type of facility:	Shallow pit (pull plug)	Earthen basin		
	Number of animals per facility:[1,000	4,000	1	
	Facility plan dimensions: a) Length 5 (ft) Voldtn (ft)	200		I I	
	OR b) Diameter: -C(ft)		300		
	Number of identical facilities: [4	1	1 1	1
-	Select odor control used in Base Plan:	No supplemental odor control implemented	No supplemental odor control implemented		
	ALTERNATE PLAN	Source Facility 1 Biofilter: Cool weather	Source Facility 2	Source Facility 3	Source Facility 4
	being considered:	ventilation	Straw 2° thick		

Figure 5. Choosing odor control for the Base Plan and the Alternate Plan. Finally, choose the terrain.

Step 8. Indicate the type of terrain around the facility.

Terrain is an optional entry and should only be used when very distinct terrain feature present between a livestock facility and an existing receptor. Terrain adjustments should be considered a special case. Currently, only flat terrain will be used to calculate setback distances in the NOFT. However, it is valuable to record the terrain for future reference. Match up the terrain in each direction with the most appropriate description and illustration from Table 1 and Figure 9. Once the dominant terrain descriptions in the four directions around the proposed facility have been determined, select the corresponding descriptions from the dropdown lists for the four directions. The default setting is "Flat terrain", which includes rolling terrain and all topography that does not match up with the given descriptions.

Step 9. View separation distance results.

To see resulting setback distances, click on the "View Results" button located in the lower right-hand area of the Inputs page (see Figure 5). Security settings on some computers disable the macros that run these buttons. If the button is not working view the results by clicking on the Results tab in the lower left hand corner of the screen. The Results page (Figure 6) shows a summary of essential information you provided, plus calculated directional setback distances for both the base plan and the alternate [odor control] plan. Separation distances are provided for four primary directions and for five annoyance-free frequencies. Distances should be rounded to the nearest tenth or eighth of a mile. It is generally advisable to print the Results page.

The percentage of total odor is also displayed for each facility, for both the base and alternative plans. This can help users determine where the majority of the odor on the farm originates, allowing them to focus odor control practices where they will have the largest effect. These calculations only take into account the facilities listed in the spreadsheet. In this example, the majority of the odor is from the manure storage, indicating that the producer would get the most benefit from odor control applied to that facility.

T			NEBRASK/	A ODOR FOOTPRINT	TOOL	Nebras		
NR	Project title: Site location:	Example Northeas	A. NE		Prepared for: Prepared by:	L		
	Location for weather data:		Norfolk, Neb. (1	Northeast Neb.)	Date prepared:			
	and the second second		Course Condition 1	Found Facility 2	Course Coellins 2	Course Couldburg		
	Type of facility:		Swine, Finishing Bidg Shallow pit (pull plug)	Manure Storage Earthen basin	source Facility's	Source Pacinty 4		
	Total plan area:	(50. 12.)	32,000	70.685				
	Total number of animals:	ACCESSION OF	4,000	4,000				
	Base odor control:	i i	No supplemental odor control implemented	No supplemental odor control implemented				
	Percentage of total odor:		40%	60%h				
	Alternate odor control:	ur:	Biofilter: Cool weather ventilation	Straw 2" thick				
	New Percentage of total odor:		31%	69%				
		24	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Northwest		
	Terrain:		Flat terrain	Flat tervain	Unconfined, low-lying area	Flat terrain		
		Sathark Distance (miles)						
		Northeast Southeast Southeast Southeast						
	BASE PLAN	90%	0.32	0.17	0.13	0.31		
		94%	0.43	0.29	0.24	0.42		
		96%	0.53	0.38	0.34	0.52		
		98%	0.85	0.66	0.49	0.85		
		99%	1.36	1.40	0.75	1.73		
	ALTERNATE PLAN	90%	0.24	0.13	0.11	0.24		
	Several and the second s	94%	0.30	0.21	0.18	0.31		
		96%	0.36	0.28	0.27	0.37		
		98%	0.57	0.49	0.36	0.59		
		and the second sec						

Figure 6. Example Results page for directional setback distances.

The 'odor annoyance-free frequency' values represent the minimum proportion of hours during which a residence situated at or beyond the setback distance should be free from being exposed to annoying levels of odor. For 96% odor annoyance-free conditions, for example, odors within the specified distance would be expected to be at annoying levels more than 4% (100% - 96%) of the time, while at locations beyond this distance, odors should be at annoying levels less than 4% of the time. As a frame of reference, 1 hour over a period of 4 full days is a frequency of about 1%. A location at the 96% odor annoyance-free distance, then, is expected to experience annoying odor levels for 4 hours over a four-day period, on average, which equates to an hour a day or 30 hours a month.

The odor annoyance-free frequency selected has a dramatic effect on the resulting separation distances. Wanting to be free of annoying odors 99% of the time may be unrealistic for some areas due to limited options for finding enough land to meet large required setbacks and challenges of applying this high standard to existing operations wanting to expand. On the other hand, in many areas having annoying odor levels 6-10% (94 or 90% odor annoyance-free) of the time is unrealistic if good neighbor relations are desired. An advantage of the spreadsheet version of the NOFT is that it allows the user to readily see odor setback distances for several annoyance-free frequencies.

As a general guide, when local residents have a strong affiliation with animal agriculture and are accustomed to modern production practices, using an odor annoyance-free frequency near 94% may suit the local needs. However, when most residents are unaffiliated with animal agriculture, or when avoiding odor conflicts is a top priority, an annoyance-free frequency closer to 98% may be more appropriate.

At this point, you have successfully used the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool spreadsheet to determine minimum separation distances. Three optional steps may be taken to get additional detail about the results, look at graphical illustrations of the setback distances, and return to the Inputs page to modify input information.

Optional Step 1. View calculations.

Click on the "Show Calculations" button (lower right-hand portion of Results page) if you are interested in seeing intermediate values used during calculations. Security settings on some computers disable the macros that run these buttons. If the button is not working, view the calculations by clicking on the Calculations tab in the lower left hand corner of the screen. For a discussion of the various terms and where some of the values are found, refer to the publication, *Determining Separation Distances Using the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool: User's Manual for the Worksheet-Based Tool.* From the Calculations page, you can navigate to other pages by clicking on the buttons at the bottom.



Figure 7. Example Calculations page.

Optional Step 2. View graphs.

To see separation distances shown to scale graphically, click the "View Graphs" button (lower right-hand portions of Results, Inputs, and Calculations pages). Security settings on some computers disable the macros that run these buttons. If the button is not working, view the graphs by clicking on the Graphs tab in the lower left hand corner of the screen. Then, locate the box with five numbers ranging from 90% to 99% in the top left portion of the Graphs page, as shown Figure 8. The 'annoyance-free frequency' values selected again represent the minimum proportion of hours during which a residence situated at or beyond the setback distance should be free from being exposed to annoying levels of odor.

The checkboxes control which setback distances are displayed in the graphs. Choose the values appropriate to your situation by clicking on the corresponding checkboxes. When a checkmark is displayed, then the odor annoyance-free frequency is displayed in the graphs. It may be beneficial to view separation distances for a few annoyance-free frequencies at the same time, so a range of odor setbacks can be readily compared and assessed.

Now the graphs are ready to view. The points shown on the graphs represent the minimum separation distances (directional setback distances) in each of the primary directions. The left column of graphs is for the Base Plan, and the right column is for the Alternate Plan. This side-by-side arrangement should illustrate the amount of benefit obtained by implementing the selected odor control technology(ies). As you move down the page, the graphs show the same setback distances at reduced scales, increasing the graph area shown from one mile in each direction from the source up to five miles. For many typical scenarios, setback distances can be

viewed using the top [one-mile] graphs. The larger-perspective graphs are needed to view the full range of setback distances for larger facilities.

The directional setback distances can be visualized as quarter circles or arrows, as shown in the first graph of Figure 8. Each 90-degree sector defined by the directional setback distances contains the area in the given direction that is expected to be impacted by annoying odors more often than the selected frequency. Together, the four areas define a conservative picture of the risk-based odor impact area or 'odor footprint' for the given facilities. Often, it is beneficial to show directional setback distances on an aerial photograph or plat map to illustrate where local residences are relative to the risk-based odor impact area (dubbed 'odor footprint') defined by the given set of setback distances. Refer to the publication *Evaluating Livestock Facility Plans Using the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool* for suggestions on developing simplified odor footprints.



Figure 8. Example Graphs page showing checkboxes for desired odor annoyance-free percentages and resulting setback distances for the Base Plan and Alternate Plan. The setback distances are shown at three scales. [A simplified odor footprint is shown on the first, upper-left graph for conceptual purposes only].

Optional Step 3. Revise inputs.

To revise the inputs and determine new directional setback distances, click the "Return to Inputs" button (lower left-hand portions of Results and Calculations pages). This will take you back to the Inputs page where you can make desired revisions. To make major revisions, return to Step 2 in these instructions and go through Steps 3-9 again. To revise one or two details, revise the project title accordingly and then make individual input changes. When you revise one input, you may be prompted to update other related inputs as well. Update inputs as requested before viewing the results.

It often is appropriate to determine separation distances for alternative scenarios - using different facility specifications, odor control technologies, annoyance-free frequency combinations, and/or weather station locations – and evaluate the alternative scenarios based upon the setback distances needed. Refer to the publication *Evaluating Livestock Facility Plans Using the Nebraska Odor Footprint Tool* for suggestions on scenarios to compare and example comparisons.

 Table 1. Terrain adjustment factors.

Type of area	Description of topography between source and receptors
Flat terrain	Default scenario. Minimal change in topography exits. Use whenever the situation does not fit into another listed category or the local topography is not known.
Unconfined, low-lying area	Receptors are located down-slope of the source (below 2% grade line from site) without topography that would confine odors in the area.
Confined air drainage zone	Receptors are situated down-slope - below the 2% falling grade line from the source – within a valley having confining sidewalls that will restrict the dispersion of odors.
Rolling terrain	Undulating country between source and receptor (with receptor between 10% up-slope and 2% down-slope)
High relief or intervening terrain	Receptor is at a higher elevation than the source (greater than 10% upslope from site) or significant hills and valleys are present between the site and receptor)

* Value depends on the degree of confinement presented: the steepness of the valley and confining walls, the width of the valley, and the continuity of the confining features should be considered in selecting an appropriate value.



Figure 9. Illustrations of terrain characteristics for selecting terrain adjustment factor.

Acknowledgements:

Funding for development of this material was provided by the Nebraska Lottery through a grant from the Nebraska Environmental Trust.